For this exercise we will be using the ExoPlex mass-radius-composition solver to try and determine the compositions of the TRAPPIST-1 planets. The mass and radius of the TRAPPIST-1 planets are some of the precise available (both measured to <10%) due to the nature of the TTV methods used to measure these properties.

Each group will be utilizing the same dataset and varying a different planetary parameter. To start here is the basic data available for the TRAPPIST-1 planets from Agol et al., 2021 (The Planetary Science Journal):

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Planet** | Mass [Earth Masses] (+σ/-σ) | Radius [Earth Radii]  (+σ/-σ) | Density [Earth Density] (+σ/-σ) |
| TRAPPIST-1b | 1.374 (+0.069, -0.069) | 1.116 (+0.014, -0.012) | 0.987(+0.048, -0.050) |
| TRAPPIST-1c | 1.308 (+0.056, -0.056) | 1.097 (+0.014, -0.012) | 0.991 (+0.040, -0.043) |
| TRAPPIST-1d | 0.388 (+0.012, -0.012) | 0.788 (+0.011, -0.010) | 0.792 (+0.028, -0.030) |
| TRAPPIST-1e | 0.692 (+0.022, -0.022) | 0.92 (+0.013, -0.012) | 0.889 (+0.030, -0.033) |
| TRAPPIST-1f | 1.039 (+0.031, -0.031) | 1.045 (+0.013, -0.012) | 0.911 (+0.025, -0.029) |
| TRAPPIST-1g | 1.321 (+0.038, -0.038) | 1.129 (+0.015, -0.013) | 0.917 (+0.025, -0.029) |
| TRAPPIST-1h | 0.326 (+0.020, -0.020) | 0.755 (+0.014, -0.014) | 0.755 (+0.059, -0.055) |

Some useful values:

1 Earth mass = 5.97 \* 1024 kg

1 Earth radius = 6371 km

Earth Density: 5512 kg m-3 = 5.512 g/cc

ExoPlex Mantle Validity Range (all values by mole):

0.5 ≤ Si/Mg ≤ 2.0 (steps of 0.1)

0.02 ≤ Ca/Mg ≤ 0.1 (steps of 0.01)

0.04 ≤ Al/Mg ≤ 0.12 (steps of 0.01)

0.0 ≤ Mass Fraction FeO ≤ 0.20 (0.0 – 0.1 steps of 0.02, 0.15, 0.2)

1400 ≤ Mantle Potential Temperature ≤ 2000 Kelvin

For this exercise you will be changing a planetary compositional parameter and calculating what range of these parameters are consistent with the observed densities of the TRAPPIST-1 planets.

For each model, every parameter can be changed in MR\_Trappist.py.

All editing can be done in the text editor of your choice and a Jupyter notebook.

**Mantle Chemistry (Fe)**

1. Begin by making sure you are in the ExoPlex/Example folder in the terminal. (cd ExoPlex/Examples)
2. Let’s begin by building a planet. To do this you need only to decide a composition (providing values for CaMg, FeMg, Mantle temperature etc.) and how many depth slices you’d like to appear in the core, mantle and water layers (num\_core\_layers, num\_mantle\_layers and number\_h2o\_layers). Note that if you do not include a water layer, number\_h2o\_layers should be set to zero.
   1. Type **python MR\_Trappist.py** and press Return. A plot should appear that shows you the density, pressure, gravity and temperature profile within your planet. This is for a two-layer planet (mantle + core) with the same mass as TRAPPIST-1b and an Earth composition (note: no water). Write down the calculated radius for this mass and composition. This will be your baseline mass (for a T1b size planet).
      1. If you’d like to plot this again (minus gravity), open the Jupyter notebook Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb in a separate terminal. Open a second terminal, cd ExoPlex/Examples, type jupyter notebook Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb. Follow the instructions.
   2. Now try changing things! Add water. Change FeMg and SiMg. Each time you change something, rerun python MR\_Trappist.py and see if you notice any changes. Compare your plots with those from others within your group and see if you can find changes. (Make a note of your changes so you can reset to the Earth composition). Make sure to change your filename to describe what you changed so you can compare. Feel free to use the Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb to plot pressure, temperature and density for your new planets.
   3. Write down what you’ve changed, and some of your observations on how the calculated radius is changing and other aspects of the planetary interior (core radius, pressure at middle of core etc.). Once you’ve tried a few different compositions do you notice any trends? Note these down!
      1. If ExoPlex breaks, double check that you haven’t gone outside the compositional bounds and rerun. If it’s still breaking, let me know and we’ll find the fix.
   4. Go ahead and reset your compositions back to where they started. If you missed it, I’ve provided a duplicate file just in case that’s default values (duplicate\_MR\_Trappist.py). Just copy and paste this sheet back into the one you were working in.
   5. If you don’t want to see this plot EVERY time, follow instructions after line that says “#Now let us plot'”, roughly line 171 in MR\_Trappist.py
3. Now that we have a sense of what things can happen, let’s focus on your group’s individual parameter. Begin with TRAPPIST-1 b and assign different team members the other planets.
   1. It’d be naïve to think that planetary mantles all have the Earth’s composition. The Earth and Mars differ in mantle Fe content by a factor of two.
      1. Your group’s task is to change the mantle chemistry, but only by adjusting the mantle iron content (all other elements are for another group). By including Fe in the mantle, it must be removed from the core (in order to conserve moles). In doing so, the density of the entire planet is different, having an effect on our mass-radius-composition interpretations.
      2. You have access to one parameter to vary in your mantle: wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted. This parameter sets the mass fraction of the mantle that is FeO. This Fe is taken from the core and oxidized. The maximum value within the ExoPlex grids is 0.2
         1. There are two ways to do the stoichiometry for this system and this is varied by changing the parameter conserve\_oxy.
            1. If conserve\_oxy is set to False, FeO is created by simply oxidizing Fe from the core through the reaction: Fe + O 🡪 FeO, which adds O atoms to the system as wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted increases.
            2. If conserve\_oxy is set to True, the system will follow the reaction: 3Fe + SiO2 🡪 2FeO (Mantle) + Fe(Si) (Core). This conserves the system’s oxygen abundance, but does place a light element into the core.
   2. Initially set conserve\_oxy to False.
   3. Run ExoPlex for each wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted within the ExoPlex grids (roughly 0 to 0.2) see first page). If you’re proficient in python, you can write a loop to do this for you. If not, you can copy things by hand into the Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb “individual runs” section of the Jupyter notebook.
      1. Keep track of the resulting radii and your input wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted for each element; these will be used in 4a and b.
   4. Repeat this process for conserve\_oxy = True
   5. What are you noticing about the mass fraction core reported in the terminal as you increase wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted?
   6. How much do you estimate mantle wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted must change in order to change its radius by ~2% for each planet? Is 5% increase possible? 10%?
   7. Which wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted looks like the best fit for each TRAPPIST-1 planet? Write these values down for each T1 planet below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planet | Best-fit Mantle Fe content conserve\_oxy = False (wt%) | Best-fit Mantle Fe content conserve\_oxy = True (wt%) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |

1. Note for the following tasks we will only be working with Let’s be more precise with our determination of “best-fit” wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted content. To do this we will adopt a chi-squared test. We define this test by:
   1. When χ2 ≤ 1, this is considered a good fit. For each of the radii you calculated in 3b, calculate χ2. Either automate this using python, or proceed by hand. Make sure to do this for each T1 planet.
   2. Input these χ2 values into the chi-squared section of Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb. Note to keep track of your x-axis (wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted) and y-axis (χ2).
   3. You may find MANY compositions produce χ2 ≤ 1. What are the minimum and maximum SiMg that produce χ2 ≤ 1? wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted = 0.2 large enough?
      1. It is also possible to find no amount of variation in your parameter causes χ2 to fall below one. This just means that our model is insensitive to changes in your parameter. Does this mean we can constrain this aspect of a planet’s composition using only mass and radius? Do you think if you were able to increase wt\_frac\_FeO\_wanted for these planets the fit would be better?
      2. Fill out the table below, and make sure to include it in your talk!
2. Would you say the TRAPPIST-1 planets are consistent with being “Earth-like?”

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | conserve\_oxy = False | | conserve\_oxy = True | |
| ` | Min. Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) | Max Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) | Min. Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) | Max Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |  |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |  |  |

1. Unfortunately, planetary mass has uncertainty that we aren’t accounting for in these above models. In order to account for this we must randomly sample the mass within the observational uncertainty.
   1. Currently Mass\_planet\_sigma (line 40) is set to zero. Change this value to your individual T1 planet’s respective mass uncertainty (available in the comments of MR\_Trappist.py).
   2. Now instead of running a single iteration, let’s run 50 total samplings. Change number\_of\_runs (line 84) to 50.
   3. Currently MR\_Trappist.py is set up to save a file that contains the data produced from all 50 runs. If you’d like to give this file a special name, change Output\_filename (line 82) to whatever you like. Note the code automatically adds “.txt” to the end.
   4. Run MR\_Trappist.py for your planet and maximum and minimum best fit compositions you found above. (100 runs today, 50 for max, 50 for min)
   5. In Tutorial\_Plots.ipynb, upload this datafile and plot the respective histogram.
      1. How much does radius vary for this “best-fit” composition just from the uncertainty in mass? Is this variation larger or smaller than the uncertainty on radius itself?

1. If you have time, calculate and plot χ2 for each of these 100 runs (same as 5a-c). Did your range of “best fit” compositions expand? If so, how much?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Planet | Min. Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) | Max Mantle Fe wt%  (χ2 ≤ 1) |
| TRAPPIST-1 b |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 c |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 d |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 e |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 f |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 g |  |  |
| TRAPPIST-1 h |  |  |